GP CEZAMAT

STRENGHTS

- Mechanisms to involve SMEs
- Good involvement of companies
- Very helpful presentation of conection of RDI infrastructure and innovation
- Good working examples of collaboration
- Strong technology transfer environment

WEAKNESSES

- sme funding packages - to aid collaboration with the university?
- Do you have some action fundings (calls) for SMEs, startup or researcher?

GP WORKING GROUPS - S3

- Good governance process
- Looks like a good structure
- Very Well presented the EDP
- Good presentation of multilevel governance
- Good examples of real bottom up approach
- What oversight is there on S3
- Working groups on S3 still in place are priorities reviewed at interim periods i.e. is there a refresh built in
- do you benchmark your region against other EU countries to understand your strengths/weaknesses?
- I understand difficult to measure success but how do you determine if priorities selected are relevant
- Te way to defining the regional priorities and the repective groups is still uncler to me
- not clear industrial specialization
- how did you focus on areas of S3 how did you analyse the regions perceived strengths?
- lack of targets and meassures for the WG
- How often you plan the working group?
- Would like to hear more info on how priorities were selected for S3

GP ITI

- many companies involved in the s3 implementation
- Well defined organizational structure, with allocation of technical staff (coordinators + ITI team)
- Good institutional design (structure and processes) for development of collaboration
- Multilevel governance good example (mutual goals, real impact & results)
- Really interesting case of multi level governance
- Great base for further interinstitutional collaboration in many areas (including innovation & economic development promotion)
- Inclusive work process - citizen focused
- Difficult to be self financing in future
- Further elaboration on how ITI linked to S3 priorities
- unable to create a territorial s3
- high dependence on EU funds
- (limited to investments & infraestructure)
- Not clear if cooperation is strong enough to overcome potential external challenges

TABLES

RUHR



IRELAND



STOCKHOLM



BEAZ /AZARO



CALABRIA



ROMANIA



WALES



COHES3ION
Interreg Europe

PEER REVIEW

FIELD VISIT IN MAZOVIA REGION

Thursday, 26 November (Digital meeting)